Diversity Subcommittee Strategic Planning Report

Subcommittee members: Randy Bramley, Kay Connelly, Dennis Groth, Raquel Hill, Sara Anne Hook, Laura Hopkins, Mark McCreary, Chris Ogan, Beth Plale, Bobby Schnabel, Susan Tennant

Why is diversity important to us?

• Innovation: Diverse participants lead to broader thinking, ideas and solutions
• Workforce: We need to draw broadly from our population to achieve national needs
• Social equity

High level goals:

1. Broad representation of and participation by women and underrepresented minority groups among our students, faculty and staff in our School
2. Have the School be a national example of how the broad view of computing and IT embodied in the School can lead to more diverse participation by students and faculty

Strategies for achieving these goals:

• Hire a school-wide diversity coordinator, with the goal of having this position become self-funded through grants and gifts within 18-24 months
• Led by the diversity committee and coordinator, establish a set of key actions for achieving our diversity goals. Likely examples include (this list is not meant to be complete):
  o Undergraduate mentoring, by peers and by faculty, coupled with establishment of student cohorts from specific populations
  o Workshops for faculty and staff, including regarding classroom climate
  o Fund scholarships and an emergency fee-forgiveness program for low income students, particularly first-generation college students (from any population)
  o Work with specific high schools, such as magnet schools and high-tech high schools, that are likely to have significant numbers of underrepresented minority students and/or women who may be interested in informatics and computer science
Examine the publications (print, web) of the School to make sure we are sending a message that is welcoming to diverse populations.

- Assure that the reward structure of faculty and staff properly rewards contributions to the School’s diversity program, whether through merit review, travel funding, course release if appropriate, and School-wide awards.

- Work with the National Center for Women & IT for the School to be an “exemplar”, on a school-wide (Bloomington and Indianapolis) basis, but focusing both on women and underrepresented minorities. Use this affiliation to help assess our current state.

SWOT Analysis

Following is a summary of a SWOT analysis conducted by the committee. There was some redundancy in this analysis between strengths and opportunities, and between weaknesses and threats – the version below lists a particular item in one place or the other, not both.

Strengths:

- Significant core of existing programs (Bring it On, Just Be, Women in Computing, participation in several IU programs such as Summer Camp, Pathfinders)
- Committed people – a large group compared to related units at other universities
- Relatively high percentage of women faculty in the School
- Leadership commitment to diversity
- Institutional commitment and support at IU and specific programs such as Hudson Holland, HBCU partnerships

Weaknesses:

- Lack of staff to lead diversity programs in the School
- Lack of funding (has been addressed somewhat)
- Lack of rewards for faculty and staff
- No centralized clearinghouse or organization within the School for diversity activities
- Haven’t assessed our diversity programs
• Relatively small involvement by male faculty

Opportunities:

• Discipline itself, particularly the broader appeal of the range of areas covered by the School of Informatics compared to traditional Computer Science

• Sense of excitement associated with the School

• External funding and partnership opportunities for diversity programs, locally and nationally

• Opportunity to be an “exemplar institution” of the National Center for Women & Information Technology

Threats:

• Potential burn-out by faculty and staff who currently are active; lack of rewards may exacerbate this situation

• Geek perception of computing and IT field

• WIC grad students may intimidate WIC undergraduate students

• May conduct our programs at too small of a funding level to make an appreciable impact